

1 Laurence M. Rosen, Esq. (SBN 219683)
2 **THE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A.**
3 355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2450
4 Los Angeles, CA 90071
5 Telephone: (213) 785-2610
6 Facsimile: (213) 226-4684
7 Email: lrosen@rosenlegal.com

8 Counsel for Plaintiff

9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
10 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11 AMRAM GALMI, Individually and on
12 behalf of all others similarly situated,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL
16 INDUSTRIES LIMITED, EREZ
17 VIGODMAN, and EYAL DESHEH,

18 Defendants.

Case No:

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR
VIOLATION OF THE FEDERAL
SECURITIES LAWS**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

19
20 Plaintiff Amram Galmi (“Plaintiff”), individually and on behalf of all other
21 persons similarly situated, by Plaintiff’s undersigned attorneys, for Plaintiff’s
22 complaint against Defendants (defined below), alleges the following based upon
23 personal knowledge as to Plaintiff and Plaintiff’s own acts, and information and
24 belief as to all other matters, based upon, inter alia, the investigation conducted by
25 and through Plaintiff’s attorneys, which included, among other things, a review of
26 the defendants’ public documents, conference calls and announcements made by
27 defendants, United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) filings,
28

1 wire and press releases published by and regarding Teva Pharmaceutical Industries
2 Limited (“Teva” or the “Company”), analysts’ reports and advisories about the
3 Company, and information readily obtainable on the Internet. Plaintiff believes that
4 substantial evidentiary support will exist for the allegations set forth herein after a
5 reasonable opportunity for discovery.

6 **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

7 1. This is a federal securities class action on behalf of a class consisting of
8 all persons other than Defendants who purchased or otherwise acquired the American
9 Depository Shares (“ADSs”) of Teva between February 10, 2015 and November 3,
10 2016, both dates inclusive (the “Class Period”). Plaintiff seeks to recover
11 compensable damages caused by Defendants’ violations of the federal securities laws
12 and to pursue remedies under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange
13 Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder.

14 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

15 2. The claims asserted herein arise under and pursuant to §§10(b) and 20(a)
16 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §§78j(b) and §78t(a)) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated
17 thereunder by the SEC (17 C.F.R. §240.10b-5).

18 3. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under
19 28 U.S.C. §1331 and §27 of the Exchange Act.

20 4. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to §27 of the Exchange Act (15
21 U.S.C. §78aa) and 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) as Defendants conduct business and operate
22 facilities in this district, and a significant portion of the Defendants’ actions, and the
23 subsequent damages, took place within this District.

24 5. In connection with the acts, conduct and other wrongs alleged in this
25 Complaint, Defendants, directly or indirectly, used the means and instrumentalities of
26 interstate commerce, including but not limited to, the United States mail, interstate
27 telephone communications and the facilities of the national securities exchange.

28

1 **PARTIES**

2 6. Plaintiff, as set forth in the accompanying Certification, purchased Teva
3 ADSs at artificially inflated prices during the Class Period and was damaged upon the
4 revelation of the alleged corrective disclosures.

5 7. Defendant Teva primarily develops, manufactures, markets, and
6 distributes generic medicines and a portfolio of specialty medicines. The Company is
7 incorporated in Israel and its principal executive offices are located at 5 Basel Street,
8 P.O. Box 3190, Petach Tikva 4951033, Israel. Upon information and belief, the
9 Company operates facilities in this district at Irvine, CA. Teva ADSs are traded on
10 the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) under the ticker symbol “TEVA.”

11 8. Defendant Erez Vigodman (“Vigodman”) has been the Chief Executive
12 Officer (“CEO”) and President of Teva since February 11, 2014.

13 9. Defendant Eyal Desheh (“Desheh”) has been the Chief Financial Officer
14 (“CFO”) and Group Executive Vice President at Teva since July 2008 and 2012
15 respectively.

16 10. Defendants Vigodman and Desheh are sometimes referred to herein as
17 the “Individual Defendants.”

18 11. Each of the Individual Defendants:

- 19 (a) directly participated in the management of the Company;
- 20 (b) was directly involved in the day-to-day operations of the Company at the
21 highest levels;
- 22 (c) was privy to confidential proprietary information concerning the
23 Company and its business and operations;
- 24 (d) was directly or indirectly involved in drafting, producing, reviewing
25 and/or disseminating the false and misleading statements and
26 information alleged herein;
- 27 (e) was directly or indirectly involved in the oversight or implementation of
28 the Company’s internal controls;

1 (f) was aware of or recklessly disregarded the fact that the false and
2 misleading statements were being issued concerning the Company;
3 and/or

4 (g) approved or ratified these statements in violation of the federal securities
5 laws.

6 12. The Company is liable for the acts of the Individual Defendants and its
7 employees under the doctrine of respondeat superior and common law principles of
8 agency because all of the wrongful acts complained of herein were carried out within
9 the scope of their employment.

10 13. The scienter of the Individual Defendants and other employees and
11 agents of the Company is similarly imputed to the Company under respondeat
12 superior and agency principles.

13 14. The Company and the Individual Defendants are referred to herein,
14 collectively, as the “Defendants.”

15 **SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS**

16 **Materially False and Misleading Statements**

17 15. On February 9, 2015, during aftermarket hours, the Company filed a
18 Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 (the “2014 20-F”) with the
19 SEC which provided the Company’s year-end financial results and stated that the
20 Company’s internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31,
21 2014. The 2014 20-F was signed by Defendant Desheh and both Defendants
22 Vigodman and Desheh signed Teva’s consolidated balance sheet included in the 2014
23 20-F. The 2014 20-F also contained signed certifications pursuant to Sarbanes-Oxley
24 Act of 2002 (“SOX”) by Defendants Vigodman and Desheh attesting to the accuracy
25 of financial reporting, the disclosure of any material changes to the Company’s
26 internal control over financial reporting, and the disclosure of all fraud.

27 16. The 2014 20-F discussed Teva’s business strategy, stating in relevant
28 part:

1 Strategy

2 In 2014, we began a process of re-defining and re-focusing our
3 business strategy to better leverage our strengths and differentiate
4 ourselves in the pharmaceutical market. We seek to capitalize on our
5 advantages—including the largest generic medicines business in the
6 world, a focused specialty business, a unique OTC business and our
7 integrated R&D and API capabilities—to provide patients with
8 integrated, outcome-focused solutions. Underlying our strategy is our
9 heightened focus on profitable and sustainable business.

10 The key elements of our strategy consist of the following:

- 11 • Solidifying our foundation and driving organic
12 growth. We are solidifying the core foundations of our generics
13 and specialty businesses to create additional value from our
14 existing operations. In 2014, we implemented organizational
15 and leadership changes, such as the creation of the Global
16 Generics Medicines group, designed to achieve global
17 integration and improve focus and effectiveness. We seek to
18 drive organic growth in our generics business by emphasizing
19 markets where we have or are pursuing leadership positions,
20 and by shifting our generic pipeline and portfolio to include a
21 larger proportion of complex products, with high barriers to
22 entry.
- 23 • Focusing on key growth markets. While we currently
24 operate in numerous markets throughout the world, in 2015 we
25 intend to concentrate our efforts on a smaller number of large
26 growth markets where we believe we can establish or expand
27 leadership positions. We are exploring both organic and
28 inorganic initiatives to achieve leadership in these markets.
- Maintaining Copaxone® and other key specialty
products. We have enhanced our multiple sclerosis (“MS”)
franchise through the introduction of our three-times-a-week
Copaxone® 40 mg/mL product in the United States, and will
launch Copaxone® 40 mg/mL in Europe and other countries in
2015. For many of our other specialty products, we are
expanding into new markets, improving the products and taking
further steps to protect the franchise while creating value for
patients and payors.

- 1 • Solidifying leadership positions in our core therapeutic
2 areas. We plan to focus on our core therapeutic areas of CNS
3 (including MS, neurodegenerative diseases and pain) and
4 respiratory (including asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease),
5 establishing leadership positions in such areas. In so doing, we
6 will leverage our focused R&D efforts, new product
7 submissions and strong execution of product launches. In
8 addition, in women's health and oncology, where we have a
9 significant commercial presence, we strive to maintain the
10 existing franchises and may consider business development
11 opportunities to maximize sustainable profitability.
- 12 • Pursuing strategic business development initiatives. We
13 continue to pursue business development initiatives across all
14 our activities. As part of these initiatives, we will continue to
15 evaluate opportunities for joint ventures, collaborations and
16 other commercially-oriented activities.
- 17 • Executing on our cost reduction program. We are focused
18 on the continued execution of our sustainable efficiency
19 program, which includes improvements in the operational
20 efficiency of our production plants, in our global procurement
21 activities, and others.

17 17. The 2014 20-F discussed the Company's strategy in the United States
18 market in further detail, stating in relevant part:

19
20 United States

21 We are the leading generic drug company in the United States.
22 We market approximately 375 generic products in more than 1,100
23 dosage strengths and packaging sizes, including oral, injectables and
24 inhaled products. We believe that the breadth of our product portfolio
25 provides us with a strategic advantage, particularly as consolidation
26 continues among purchasers, including large drugstore chains,
27 wholesaling organizations and buying groups. Our growth strategy
28 focuses on a carefully selected portfolio of products that will provide
added value to our customers, payors and patients, utilizing new and
advanced technologies.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

In the United States, we are subject to intense competition in the generic drug market from domestic and international generic drug manufacturers, brand-name pharmaceutical companies through lifecycle management initiatives, authorized generics, existing brand equivalents and manufacturers of therapeutically similar drugs. Price competition from additional generic versions of the same product typically results in margin pressures. We believe that our primary competitive advantages are our ability to continually introduce new and complex generic equivalents for brand-name drug products on a timely basis, our quality, our customer service and the breadth of our product portfolio. We believe we have a focused and competitive pricing strategy.

A substantial majority of our U.S. generic sales are made to retail drug chains and wholesalers, which continue to undergo significant consolidation and globalization. Our portfolio selection, breadth of products offerings and our global network capabilities, have provided mutual strategic advantages to our customers. We are committed to the success of our customers and work closely with them as important business partners.

In the United States, our wholesale and retail selling efforts are supported by advertising in professional journals and on leading pharmacy websites, as well as participating in key medical and pharmaceutical conferences. We continue to strengthen consumer awareness of the benefits of generics through partnerships and digital marketing programs.

18. On February 11, 2016, the Company filed a Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015 (the “2015 20-F”) with the SEC which provided the Company’s year-end financial results and stated that the Company’s internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2015. The 2015 20-F was signed by Defendant Desheh and both Defendants Vigodman and Desheh signed Teva’s consolidated balance sheet included in the 2015 20-F. The 2015 20-F also contained SOX certifications signed by Defendants Vigodman and Desheh attesting to the accuracy of financial reporting, the disclosure of any material changes to the Company’s internal control over financial reporting, and the disclosure of all fraud.

1 19. The 2015 20-F discussed Teva’s business strategy, stating in relevant
2 part:

3 Strategy

4 In 2014, we began a process of re-defining and re-focusing our
5 business strategy to better leverage our strengths and differentiate
6 ourselves in the pharmaceutical market. We seek to capitalize on our
7 advantages—including the largest generic medicines business in the
8 world, a focused specialty business, a unique OTC business and our
9 robust R&D and API capabilities—to provide patients with integrated,
outcome-focused solutions. Underlying our strategy is our heightened
focus on profitable and sustainable business.

10 The key elements of our strategy consist of the following:

- 11 • Solidifying our foundation and driving organic
12 growth. We have solidified, and continue to strengthen, the core
13 foundations of our generics and specialty businesses to create
14 additional value from our existing operations. We implemented
15 organizational and leadership changes, such as the creation of
16 the Global Generics Medicines group, designed to achieve
global integration and improve focus and effectiveness. We
continue to drive organic growth and improve profitability in
our generics business.
- 17 • Transforming our generics business. Upon
18 consummation of our acquisition of Actavis Generics, the
19 Actavis Generics portfolio and pipeline, combined with our
20 strong existing generics portfolio, will further enhance our
goals of delivering the highest quality generic medicines at
competitive prices. The combined generic business will have a
commercial presence across 100 markets, including a top three
leadership position in over 40 markets.
- 21 • Focusing on key growth markets. While we currently
22 operate in numerous markets throughout the world, we intend
23 to concentrate our efforts on a smaller number of growth
24 markets where we believe we can establish or expand
25 leadership positions. We are exploring both organic and
26 inorganic initiatives to achieve leadership in these markets,
27 including, for example, our pending acquisition of Rimsa, a
28 leading pharmaceutical company in Mexico.

1 • Maintaining Copaxone® and other key specialty
2 products. We enhanced our multiple sclerosis (“MS”) franchise
3 through the introduction of our three-times-a-week
4 Copaxone® 40 mg/mL product in the United States, Europe
5 and other countries in 2015. We also enhanced our oncology
6 portfolio with the FDA’s approval in December 2015 of
7 Bendeka™ (bendamustine hydrochloride), which complements
8 our Treanda® franchise. For many of our other specialty
9 products, we are expanding into new markets, improving the
10 products and taking further steps to protect the franchise while
11 creating value for patients and payors.

12 • Solidifying leadership positions in our core therapeutic
13 areas. Our focus is on our core therapeutic areas of CNS
14 (including MS, neurodegenerative diseases, movement
15 disorders and pain care) and respiratory (including asthma and
16 chronic obstructive pulmonary disease), where we seek to
17 establish leadership positions. In the past year, we have taken
18 significant steps, both internally and by pursuing business
19 development initiatives, to significantly solidify our position in
20 our core therapeutic areas, specifically with the acquisitions of
21 Labrys and Auspex.

22 • Pursuing strategic business development initiatives. We
23 continue to pursue business development initiatives across all
24 our activities. As part of these initiatives, we will continue to
25 evaluate opportunities for joint ventures, collaborations and
26 other activities that support our strategy.

27 20. The 2015 20-F discussed the Company’s strategy in the United States
28 market in further detail, stating in relevant part:

United States

We are the leading generic drug company in the United States. We market approximately 370 generic products in more than 1,100 dosage strengths and packaging sizes, including oral, injectable and inhaled products. We believe that the breadth of our product portfolio provides us with a strategic advantage, particularly as consolidation continues among purchasers, including large drugstore chains, wholesaling organizations and buying groups. Our growth strategy focuses on a portfolio of products that will provide added value to our

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

customers, payors and patients, utilizing new and advanced technologies.

In the United States, we are subject to intense competition in the generic drug market from domestic and international generic drug manufacturers, brand-name pharmaceutical companies through lifecycle management initiatives, authorized generics, existing brand equivalents and manufacturers of therapeutically similar drugs. Price competition from additional generic versions of the same product typically results in margin pressures. We believe that our primary competitive advantages are our ability to continually introduce new and complex generic equivalents for brand-name drug products on a timely basis, our quality, our customer service and the breadth of our product portfolio. We believe we have a focused and competitive pricing strategy.

A substantial majority of our U.S. generic sales are made to retail drug chains and wholesalers, which continue to undergo significant consolidation and globalization. Our portfolio selection, breadth of products offerings and our global network capabilities, have provided mutual strategic advantages to our customers. We are committed to the success of our customers and work closely with them as important business partners.

In the United States, our wholesale and retail selling efforts are supported by advertising in professional journals and on leading pharmacy websites, as well as participating in key medical and pharmaceutical conferences. We continue to strengthen consumer awareness of the benefits of generics through partnerships and digital marketing programs.

In most other markets in which we operate, we use an integrated and comprehensive marketing model, offering a range of generic, specialty and OTC products.

21. The statements referenced in ¶¶ 15-20 above were materially false and/or misleading because they misrepresented and failed to disclose the following adverse facts pertaining to the Company’s business, operational and financial results, which were known to Defendants or recklessly disregarded by them. Specifically, Defendants made false and/or misleading statements and/or failed to disclose that: (1)

1 (1) Teva was engaging and/or had engaged in conduct that would result in an antitrust
2 investigation by the U.S. Department of Justice (“DOJ”) and the State of Connecticut
3 Office of the Attorney General; (2) the DOJ investigation and the underlying conduct
4 could cause U.S. prosecutors to file criminal charges against Teva by the end of 2016
5 for suspected price collusion; and (3) in turn, Teva lacked effective internal
6 controls over financial reporting; and (4) as a result, Teva’s public statements
7 were materially false and misleading at all relevant times.

8 **The Truth Emerges**

9 22. On August 4, 2016, the Company filed a Form 6-K with the SEC which
10 was signed by Defendant Desheh. The Form 6-K discussed government
11 investigations relating to Teva’s pricing and marketing, disclosing that the company’s
12 subsidiary, Teva USA, received two subpoenas, stating in relevant part:

13
14 On June 21, 2015, Teva USA received a subpoena from the Antitrust
15 Division of the United States Department of Justice seeking
16 documents and other information relating to the marketing and pricing
17 of certain of Teva USA’s generic products and communications with
18 competitors about such products. On July 12, 2016, Teva USA
19 received a subpoena from the Connecticut Attorney General seeking
20 documents and other information relating to potential state antitrust
21 law violations. Teva is cooperating fully with these requests.

22 23. On this news, Teva’s ADSs fell \$1.24 per share from its previous closing
23 price, to close at \$54.21 per share on August 5, 2016, damaging investors.

24 24. On November 3, 2016, *Bloomberg* published the article “U.S. Charges in
25 Generic-Drug Probe to Be Filed by Year End” which discussed the DOJ’s two year
26 investigation of suspected price collusion by several pharmaceutical companies,
27 including Teva, which will likely result in prosecutors filing criminal charges by the
28 end of the year, stating in part:

U.S. prosecutors are bearing down on generic pharmaceutical
companies in a sweeping criminal investigation into suspected price

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

collusion, a fresh challenge for an industry that's already reeling from public outrage over the spiraling costs of some medicines.

The antitrust investigation by the Justice Department, begun about two years ago, now spans more than a dozen companies and about two dozen drugs, according to people familiar with the matter. The grand jury probe is examining whether some executives agreed with one another to raise prices, and the first charges could emerge by the end of the year, they said.

Though individual companies have made various disclosures about the inquiry, they have identified only a handful of drugs under scrutiny, including a heart treatment and an antibiotic. Among the drugmakers to have received subpoenas are industry giants Mylan NV and Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. Other companies include Actavis, which Teva bought from Allergan Plc in August, Lannett Co., Impax Laboratories Inc., Covis Pharma Holdings Sarl, Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd., Mayne Pharma Group Ltd., Endo International Plc's subsidiary Par Pharmaceutical Holdings and Taro Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd.

* * *

Although it isn't illegal for companies to raise prices at the same time, it's against the law for competitors to agree to set prices or coordinate on discounts, production quotas or fees that affect prices. The federal government can prosecute companies for collusion and seek penalties and potentially send executives to jail.

Charges could extend to high-level executives, according to the people. The antitrust division, which has an immunity program to motivate wrongdoers to confess and inform on others, has stepped up its commitment to holding individuals responsible.

* * *

Generic drug companies are also contending with a civil price-fixing investigation by Connecticut Attorney General George Jepsen. Jepsen is seeking to lead a group of states to probe the industry, which could result in cases seeking damages, according to people familiar with the matter. A spokesman for the Connecticut Attorney General's office declined to comment.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

The first subpoenas in the generics investigation were issued by Connecticut in July 2014, while the Justice Department followed in November, according to regulatory filings by the companies. The investigations initially focused on mid-sized U.S. companies and have since extended to the biggest manufacturers and U.S. subsidiaries of overseas companies.

25. On this news, Teva ADSs fell \$4.13 per share, or over 9.5%, from its previous closing price to close at \$39.20 per share on November 3, 2016, damaging investors.

26. As a result of Defendants’ wrongful acts and omissions, and the precipitous decline in the market value of the Company’s ADSs, Plaintiff and other Class members have suffered significant losses and damages.

PLAINTIFF’S CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

27. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of a Class, consisting of all those who purchased or otherwise acquired Teva ADSs traded on the NYSE during the Class Period (the “Class”); and were damaged upon the revelation of the alleged corrective disclosures. Excluded from the Class are Defendants herein, the officers and directors of the Company, at all relevant times, members of their immediate families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns and any entity in which Defendants have or had a controlling interest.

28. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Throughout the Class Period, Teva ADSs were actively traded on the NYSE. While the exact number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff at this time and can be ascertained only through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff believes that there are hundreds or thousands of members in the proposed Class. Record owners and other members of the Class may be identified from records maintained by the Company or its transfer agent and may be notified of the pendency of this action by

1 mail, using the form of notice similar to that customarily used in securities class
2 actions.

3 29. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class as
4 all members of the Class are similarly affected by Defendants' wrongful conduct in
5 violation of federal law that is complained of herein.

6 30. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members
7 of the Class and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class and
8 securities litigation. Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to or in conflict with those
9 of the Class.

10 31. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class
11 and predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class.
12 Among the questions of law and fact common to the Class are:

- 13 • whether the federal securities laws were violated by Defendants' acts as
14 alleged herein;
- 15 • whether statements made by Defendants to the investing public during
16 the Class Period misrepresented material facts about the financial
17 condition, business, operations, and management of the Company;
- 18 • whether Defendants' public statements to the investing public during the
19 Class Period omitted material facts necessary to make the statements
20 made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not
21 misleading;
- 22 • whether the Individual Defendants caused the Company to issue false
23 and misleading SEC filings and public statements during the Class
24 Period;
- 25 • whether Defendants acted knowingly or recklessly in issuing false and
26 misleading SEC filings and public statements during the Class Period;

- 1 • whether the prices of Teva ADSs during the Class Period were
2 artificially inflated because of the Defendants' conduct complained of
3 herein; and
4 • whether the members of the Class have sustained damages and, if so,
5 what is the proper measure of damages.

6 32. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and
7 efficient adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is
8 impracticable. Furthermore, as the damages suffered by individual Class members
9 may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation make it
10 impossible for members of the Class to individually redress the wrongs done to them.
11 There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.

12 33. Plaintiff will rely, in part, upon the presumption of reliance established
13 by the fraud-on-the-market doctrine in that:

- 14 • Defendants made public misrepresentations or failed to disclose material
15 facts during the Class Period;
16 • the omissions and misrepresentations were material;
17 • Teva ADSs are traded in efficient markets;
18 • the Company's ADSs were liquid and traded with moderate to heavy
19 volume during the Class Period;
20 • the Company traded on the NYSE, and was covered by multiple
21 analysts;
22 • the misrepresentations and omissions alleged would tend to induce a
23 reasonable investor to misjudge the value of the Company's ADSs; and
24 • Plaintiff and members of the Class purchased and/or sold Teva ADSs
25 between the time the Defendants failed to disclose or misrepresented
26 material facts and the time the true facts were disclosed, without
27 knowledge of the omitted or misrepresented facts.
28

1 • engaged in acts, practices and a course of business that operated as a
2 fraud or deceit upon plaintiff and others similarly situated in connection
3 with their purchases of Teva ADSs during the Class Period.

4 40. The Company and the Individual Defendants acted with scienter in that
5 they knew that the public documents and statements issued or disseminated in the
6 name of the Company were materially false and misleading; knew that such
7 statements or documents would be issued or disseminated to the investing public; and
8 knowingly and substantially participated, or acquiesced in the issuance or
9 dissemination of such statements or documents as primary violations of the securities
10 laws. These defendants by virtue of their receipt of information reflecting the true
11 facts of the Company, their control over, and/or receipt and/or modification of the
12 Company's allegedly materially misleading statements, and/or their associations with
13 the Company which made them privy to confidential proprietary information
14 concerning the Company, participated in the fraudulent scheme alleged herein.

15 41. Individual Defendants, who are the senior officers and/or directors of
16 the Company, had actual knowledge of the material omissions and/or the falsity of
17 the material statements set forth above, and intended to deceive Plaintiff and the other
18 members of the Class, or, in the alternative, acted with reckless disregard for the truth
19 when they failed to ascertain and disclose the true facts in the statements made by
20 them or other personnel of the Company to members of the investing public,
21 including Plaintiff and the Class.

22 42. As a result of the foregoing, the market price of Teva ADSs was
23 artificially inflated during the Class Period. In ignorance of the falsity of the
24 Company's and the Individual Defendants' statements, Plaintiff and the other
25 members of the Class relied on the statements described above and/or the integrity of
26 the market price of Teva ADSs during the Class Period in purchasing Teva ADSs at
27 prices that were artificially inflated as a result of the Company's and the Individual
28 Defendants' false and misleading statements.

1 C. Awarding Plaintiff and the other members of the Class prejudgment and
2 post-judgment interest, as well as their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees and
3 other costs; and

4 D. Awarding such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and
5 proper.

6 **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

7 Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

8 Dated: November 6, 2016

9 Respectfully submitted,

10 **THE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A.**

11 By: /s/ Laurence M. Rosen

12 Laurence M. Rosen, Esq. (SBN 219683)

13 355 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 2450

14 Los Angeles, CA 90071

15 Telephone: (213) 785-2610

16 Facsimile: (213) 226-4684

17 Email: lrosen@rosenlegal.com

18 Counsel for Plaintiff