

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

DORIS SHASHA, Individually and on Behalf of
All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

ENDO INTERNATIONAL PLC, RAJIV
KANISHKA LIYANAARCHIE DE SILVA,
and SUKETU P. UPADHYAY,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.:

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE
FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff Doris Shasha (“Plaintiff”), individually and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated, by her undersigned attorneys, for her complaint against defendants, alleges the following based upon personal knowledge as to herself and her own acts, and information and belief as to all other matters, based upon, *inter alia*, the investigation conducted by and through her attorneys, which included, among other things, a review of the defendants’ public documents, conference calls and announcements made by defendants, United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) filings, wire and press releases published by and regarding Endo International plc (“Endo” or the “Company”), analysts’ reports and advisories about the Company, and information readily obtainable on the Internet. Plaintiff believes that substantial evidentiary support will exist for the allegations set forth herein after a reasonable opportunity for discovery.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a class action on behalf of purchasers of Endo securities between September 28, 2015 and November 2, 2016, inclusive (the “Class Period”), seeking to pursue remedies under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”).

2. Endo develops, manufactures, and distributes pharmaceutical products and devices worldwide. The Company’s U.S. Generic Pharmaceuticals segment provides tablets, capsules, powders, injectables, liquids, nasal sprays, ophthalmics, and transdermal patches for pain management, urology, central nervous system disorders, immunosuppression, oncology, women’s health, and cardiovascular disease markets. Endo sells its branded pharmaceuticals and generics directly, as well as through wholesale drug distributors.

3. Endo commenced operations in 1997 by acquiring certain pharmaceutical products, related rights, and assets from The DuPont Merck Pharmaceutical Company. Endo is headquartered in Dublin, Ireland. The Company’s stock trades on the NASDAQ under the ticker symbol “ENDP.”

4. On September 28, 2015, Endo announced that it had completed its \$8.05 billion acquisition of Par Pharmaceutical Holdings, Inc. (“Par Pharmaceutical”) from the private investment firm TPG.

5. Throughout the Class Period, Defendants made false and/or misleading statements, as well as failed to disclose material adverse facts about the Company’s business, operations, and prospects. Specifically, Defendants made false and/or misleading statements and/or failed to disclose that: (i) Endo’s subsidiary, Par Pharmaceutical, had colluded with several of its industry peers to fix generic drug prices; (ii) the foregoing conduct constituted a violation of federal antitrust laws; (iii) consequently, Endo’s revenues during the Class Period

were in part the result of illegal conduct; and (iv) as a result of the foregoing, Endo's public statements were materially false and misleading at all relevant times.

6. On November 3, 2016, media outlets reported that U.S. prosecutors were considering filing criminal charges by the end of 2016 against Par Pharmaceutical and several other pharmaceutical companies for unlawfully colluding to fix generic drug prices. In an article titled "U.S. Charges in Generic-Drug Probe to Be Filed by Year-End," *Bloomberg* reported, in relevant part:

U.S. prosecutors are bearing down on generic pharmaceutical companies in a sweeping criminal investigation into suspected price collusion, a fresh challenge for an industry that's already reeling from public outrage over the spiraling costs of some medicines.

The antitrust investigation by the Justice Department, begun about two years ago, now spans more than a dozen companies and about two dozen drugs, according to people familiar with the matter. The grand jury probe is examining whether some executives agreed with one another to raise prices, and the first charges could emerge by the end of the year, they said.

Though individual companies have made various disclosures about the inquiry, they have identified only a handful of drugs under scrutiny, including a heart treatment and an antibiotic. Among the drugmakers to have received subpoenas are industry giants Mylan NV and Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. Other companies include Actavis, which Teva bought from Allergan Plc in August, Lannett Co., Impax Laboratories Inc., Covis Pharma Holdings Sarl, Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd., Mayne Pharma Group Ltd., ***Endo International Plc's subsidiary Par Pharmaceutical Holdings*** and Taro Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd.

All of the companies have said they are cooperating except Covis, which said last year it was unable to assess the outcome of the investigation.

...

Allergan, Impax and Sun declined to comment beyond their filings. Representatives of Endo, Covis, Taro and Lannett didn't respond to requests for comment. A Justice Department spokesman declined to comment.

(Emphasis added.)

7. On this news, Endo's share price fell \$3.54, or 19.48%, to close at \$14.63 on November 3, 2016.

8. As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts and omissions, and the precipitous decline in the market value of the Company's securities, Plaintiff and other Class members have suffered significant losses and damages.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

9. The claims asserted herein arise under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §§78j(b) and 78t(a)) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC (17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5).

10. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and Section 27 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §78aa).

11. Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) and Section 27 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §78aa(c)). The Company's stock is traded on the NASDAQ, located within this Judicial District.

12. In connection with the acts, transactions, and conduct alleged herein, Defendants directly and indirectly used the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, including the United States mail, interstate telephone communications, and the facilities of a national securities exchange.

PARTIES

13. Plaintiff, as set forth in the accompanying certification, incorporated by reference herein, purchased Endo common stock during the Class Period, and suffered damages as a result of the federal securities law violations and false and/or misleading statements and/or material omissions alleged herein.

14. Defendant Endo is incorporated under the laws of Ireland, with its principal executive offices located at First Floor, Minerva House, Simmonscourt Road, Ballsbridge,

Dublin 4, Ireland. Endo’s common stock trades on the NASDAQ under the ticker symbol “ENDP.”

15. Defendant Rajiv Kanishka Liyanaarchchie De Silva (“De Silva”) served as Endo’s Chief Executive Officer and President between March 2013 and September 2016.

16. Defendant Suketu P. Upadhyay (“Upadhyay”) has served at all relevant times as Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President of Endo.

17. The defendants referenced in ¶¶ 15-16 are sometimes collectively referred to herein as the “Individual Defendants.”

SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

Background

18. Endo develops, manufactures, and distributes pharmaceutical products and devices worldwide. The Company’s U.S. Generic Pharmaceuticals segment provides tablets, capsules, powders, injectables, liquids, nasal sprays, ophthalmics, and transdermal patches for pain management, urology, central nervous system disorders, immunosuppression, oncology, women’s health, and cardiovascular disease markets. Endo sells its branded pharmaceuticals and generics directly, as well as through wholesale drug distributors.

Materially False and Misleading Statements Issued During the Class Period

19. The Class Period begins on September 28, 2015, when Endo issued a press release announcing the completion of its acquisition of Par Pharmaceutical. The press release stated, in part:

Through this acquisition, Endo has further established its position as a leading global specialty pharmaceutical company with a fast growing generics business that is among the top five as measured by U.S. sales according to IMS. The acquisition also helps position Endo for long-term double-digit organic growth, enhanced cash flow generation and increased financial flexibility. Endo's generics

portfolio now includes an extensive range of in market and R&D stage complex and competitively differentiated dosage forms and delivery systems, with a focus on higher barrier-to-entry and first-to-market products. Endo's combined U.S. Generics segment, which includes Par Pharmaceutical and Qualitest, will be named Par Pharmaceutical, an Endo International Company and will be led by Paul Campanelli, former Chief Executive Officer of Par Pharmaceutical, who will also join Endo's Executive Leadership Team.

"We are pleased to announce the completion of this transformational acquisition that has strategically expanded our product portfolio, R&D pipeline, manufacturing and technology capacity and generics expertise for the benefit of patients, customers and shareholders," said Rajiv De Silva, President and CEO of Endo. "We are also pleased to welcome Paul Campanelli, former CEO of Par Pharmaceutical, as Group President, Par Pharmaceutical to the Endo Executive Leadership Team and are excited about his anticipated contributions to the organization. I would like to take the opportunity to thank the leadership team and the hard working employees at Qualitest for continuing to drive the business forward and deliver year-over-year double-digit growth during this period of transition. We look forward to the opportunities ahead for our combined generics business."

"I am excited to be joining Endo along with key members of the Par team. We look forward to helping realize the full potential of this new – and highly specialized – generics business," said Mr. Campanelli. "Our combined portfolio now includes an industry-leading range of higher barrier-to-entry and first-to-market products, as well as an extensive and differentiated R&D pipeline. While already one of the fastest growing generics businesses, we see a compelling opportunity to drive future double-digit growth, serve our customers and build shareholder value."

20. On November 9, 2015, Endo filed a Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q with the SEC announcing the Company's financial and operating results for the quarter ended September 30, 2015 (the "Q3 2015 10-Q"). For the quarter, Endo reported a net loss of \$1.05 billion, or \$5.02 per diluted share, on revenue of \$745.73 million, compared to a net loss of \$252.08 million, or \$1.64 per diluted share, on revenue of \$654.12 million for the same period in the prior year. For the Company's U.S. Generic Pharmaceuticals segment, Endo reported net income of \$177.96 million on net revenues of \$367.93 million for the quarter.

21. The Q3 2015 10-Q contained signed certifications pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (“SOX”) by the Individual Defendants, stating that the financial information contained in the Q3 2015 10-Q was accurate and disclosed any material changes to the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.

22. On February 29, 2016, Endo filed an Annual Report on Form 10-K with the SEC announcing the Company’s financial and operating results for the quarter and year ended December 31, 2015 (the “2015 10-K”). For the quarter, Endo reported a net loss of \$118.46 million, or \$0.53 per diluted share, on revenue of \$1.07 billion, compared to a net loss of \$53.48 million, or \$0.34 per diluted share, on revenue of \$662.88 million for the same period in the prior year. For 2015, Endo reported a net loss of \$1.50 billion, or \$7.59 per diluted share, on revenue of \$3.27 billion, compared to a net loss of \$721.32 million, or \$4.91 per diluted share, on revenue of \$2.38 billion for 2014. For the Company’s U.S. Generic Pharmaceuticals segment, Endo reported net revenues of \$1.67 billion for 2015.

23. In the 2015 10-K, Endo stated, in part:

Focus on our generics business differentiated products. We develop high-barrier-to-entry generic products, including first-to-file or first-to-market opportunities that are difficult to formulate, difficult to manufacture or face complex legal and regulatory challenges. We believe products with these characteristics will face a lesser degree of competition and therefore provide longer product life cycles and higher profitability than commodity generic products. Our business model continues to focus on being the lowest-cost producer of products in categories with high barriers to entry and lower levels of competition by leveraging operational efficiency. Our U.S. Generic Pharmaceuticals segment is focused in categories where there are fewer challenges from low-cost operators.

Through our acquisition of Par, we have strategically expanded our technology, manufacturing, handling and development capabilities to a diversified array of dosage forms. We believe our comprehensive suite of technology, manufacturing and development capabilities increases the likelihood of success in commercializing high-barrier-to-entry products and obtaining first-to-file and first-to-market status on future products, yielding more sustainable market share

and profitability. We plan to optimize our generic products pipeline and portfolio as part of a strategic assessment of our generic business. We will retain only those marketed products that deliver acceptable returns on investment, thereby leveraging our existing platform to drive operational efficiency.

24. In the 2015 10-K, Endo also reported that the Company was the subject of various antitrust investigations and litigations, but merely recited that the Company was “unable to predict the outcome of these matters or the ultimate legal or financial liability, if any.”

25. The 2015 10-K contained signed certifications pursuant to SOX by the Individual Defendants, stating that the financial information contained in the 2015 10-K was accurate and disclosed any material changes to the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.

26. On May 6, 2016, Endo filed a Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q with the SEC, announcing the Company’s financial and operating results for the quarter ended March 31, 2016 (the “Q1 2016 10-Q”). For the quarter, Endo reported a net loss of \$133.87 million, or \$0.60 per diluted share, on revenue of \$963.54 million, compared to a net loss of \$75.72 million, or \$0.45 per diluted share, on revenue of \$714.13 million for the same period in the prior year. For the Company’s U.S. Generic Pharmaceuticals segment, Endo reported net income of \$211.77 million on net revenues of \$583.39 million for the quarter.

27. The Q1 2016 10-Q contained signed certifications pursuant to SOX by the Individual Defendants, stating that the financial information contained in the Q1 2016 10-Q was accurate and disclosed any material changes to the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.

28. On August 9, 2016, Endo filed a Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q with the SEC, announcing the Company’s financial and operating results for the quarter ended June 30, 2016 (the “Q2 2016 10-Q”). For the quarter, Endo reported net income of \$343.58 million, or \$1.54 per diluted share, on revenue of \$920.89 million, compared to a net loss of \$250.42 million, or

\$1.35 per diluted share, on revenue of \$735.17 million for the same period in the prior year. For the Company's U.S. Generic Pharmaceuticals segment, Endo reported net income of \$214.97 million on net revenues of \$565.36 million for the quarter.

29. The Q2 2016 10-Q contained signed certifications pursuant to SOX by the Individual Defendants, stating that the financial information contained in the Q2 2016 10-Q was accurate and disclosed any material changes to the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

30. The statements referenced in ¶¶ 19-29 were materially false and misleading because Defendants made false and/or misleading statements, as well as failed to disclose material adverse facts about the Company's business, operations, and prospects. Specifically, Defendants made false and/or misleading statements and/or failed to disclose that: (i) Endo's subsidiary, Par Pharmaceutical, had colluded with several of its industry peers to fix generic drug prices; (ii) the foregoing conduct constituted a violation of federal antitrust laws; (iii) consequently, Endo's revenues during the Class Period were in part the result of illegal conduct; and (iv) as a result of the foregoing, Endo's public statements were materially false and misleading at all relevant times.

The Truth Emerges

31. On November 3, 2016, media outlets reported that U.S. prosecutors were considering filing charges by the end of 2016 against Par Pharmaceutical and several other pharmaceutical companies for unlawfully colluding to fix generic drug prices. In an article titled "U.S. Charges in Generic-Drug Probe to Be Filed by Year-End," *Bloomberg* reported, in relevant part:

U.S. prosecutors are bearing down on generic pharmaceutical companies in a sweeping criminal investigation into suspected price collusion, a fresh challenge

for an industry that's already reeling from public outrage over the spiraling costs of some medicines.

The antitrust investigation by the Justice Department, begun about two years ago, now spans more than a dozen companies and about two dozen drugs, according to people familiar with the matter. The grand jury probe is examining whether some executives agreed with one another to raise prices, and the first charges could emerge by the end of the year, they said.

Though individual companies have made various disclosures about the inquiry, they have identified only a handful of drugs under scrutiny, including a heart treatment and an antibiotic. Among the drugmakers to have received subpoenas are industry giants Mylan NV and Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. Other companies include Actavis, which Teva bought from Allergan Plc in August, Lannett Co., Impax Laboratories Inc., Covis Pharma Holdings Sarl, Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd., Mayne Pharma Group Ltd., *Endo International Plc's subsidiary Par Pharmaceutical Holdings* and Taro Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd.

All of the companies have said they are cooperating except Covis, which said last year it was unable to assess the outcome of the investigation.

...

Allergan, Impax and Sun declined to comment beyond their filings. Representatives of Endo, Covis, Taro and Lannett didn't respond to requests for comment. A Justice Department spokesman declined to comment.

(Emphasis added.)

32. On this news, Endo's share price fell \$3.54, or 19.48%, to close at \$14.63 on November 3, 2016.

33. As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts and omissions, and the precipitous decline in the market value of the Company's securities, Plaintiff and other Class members have suffered significant losses and damages.

PLAINTIFF'S CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

34. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of a Class, consisting of all those who purchased or otherwise acquired Endo securities during the Class Period (the "Class"); and were damaged upon the revelation of the alleged corrective disclosures. Excluded from the Class are

defendants herein, the officers and directors of the Company, at all relevant times, members of their immediate families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns and any entity in which defendants have or had a controlling interest.

35. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Throughout the Class Period, Endo securities were actively traded on the NASDAQ. While the exact number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff at this time and can be ascertained only through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff believes that there are hundreds or thousands of members in the proposed Class. Record owners and other members of the Class may be identified from records maintained by Endo or its transfer agent and may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail, using the form of notice similar to that customarily used in securities class actions.

36. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class as all members of the Class are similarly affected by defendants' wrongful conduct in violation of federal law that is complained of herein.

37. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class and securities litigation. Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to or in conflict with those of the Class.

38. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class. Among the questions of law and fact common to the Class are:

- whether the federal securities laws were violated by defendants' acts as alleged herein;
- whether statements made by defendants to the investing public during the Class Period misrepresented material facts about the business, operations and management of Endo;

- whether the Individual Defendants caused Endo to issue false and misleading financial statements during the Class Period;
- whether defendants acted knowingly or recklessly in issuing false and misleading financial statements;
- whether the prices of Endo securities during the Class Period were artificially inflated because of the defendants' conduct complained of herein; and
- whether the members of the Class have sustained damages and, if so, what is the proper measure of damages.

39. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, as the damages suffered by individual Class members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation make it impossible for members of the Class to individually redress the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.

40. Plaintiff will rely, in part, upon the presumption of reliance established by the fraud-on-the-market doctrine in that:

- defendants made public misrepresentations or failed to disclose material facts during the Class Period;
- the omissions and misrepresentations were material;
- Endo securities are traded in an efficient market;
- the Company's shares were liquid and traded with moderate to heavy volume during the Class Period;
- the Company traded on the NASDAQ and was covered by multiple analysts;
- the misrepresentations and omissions alleged would tend to induce a reasonable investor to misjudge the value of the Company's securities; and
- Plaintiff and members of the Class purchased, acquired and/or sold Endo securities between the time the defendants failed to disclose or misrepresented material facts and the time the true facts were disclosed, without knowledge of the omitted or misrepresented facts.

41. Based upon the foregoing, Plaintiff and the members of the Class are entitled to a presumption of reliance upon the integrity of the market.

42. Alternatively, Plaintiff and the members of the Class are entitled to the presumption of reliance established by the Supreme Court in *Affiliated Ute Citizens of the State of Utah v. United States*, 406 U.S. 128, 92 S. Ct. 2430 (1972), as Defendants omitted material information in their Class Period statements in violation of a duty to disclose such information, as detailed above.

COUNT I

(Against All Defendants For Violations of Section 10(b) And Rule 10b-5 Promulgated Thereunder)

43. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained above as if fully set forth herein.

44. This Count is asserted against defendants and is based upon Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC.

45. During the Class Period, defendants engaged in a plan, scheme, conspiracy and course of conduct, pursuant to which they knowingly or recklessly engaged in acts, transactions, practices and courses of business which operated as a fraud and deceit upon Plaintiff and the other members of the Class; made various untrue statements of material facts and omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and employed devices, schemes and artifices to defraud in connection with the purchase and sale of securities. Such scheme was intended to, and, throughout the Class Period, did: (i) deceive the investing public, including Plaintiff and other Class members, as alleged herein; (ii) artificially inflate and maintain the market price of Endo securities; and (iii) cause Plaintiff and other members of the Class to purchase or otherwise

acquire Endo securities and options at artificially inflated prices. In furtherance of this unlawful scheme, plan and course of conduct, defendants, and each of them, took the actions set forth herein.

46. Pursuant to the above plan, scheme, conspiracy and course of conduct, each of the defendants participated directly or indirectly in the preparation and/or issuance of the quarterly and annual reports, SEC filings, press releases and other statements and documents described above, including statements made to securities analysts and the media that were designed to influence the market for Endo securities. Such reports, filings, releases and statements were materially false and misleading in that they failed to disclose material adverse information and misrepresented the truth about Endo's finances and business prospects.

47. By virtue of their positions at Endo, defendants had actual knowledge of the materially false and misleading statements and material omissions alleged herein and intended thereby to deceive Plaintiff and the other members of the Class, or, in the alternative, defendants acted with reckless disregard for the truth in that they failed or refused to ascertain and disclose such facts as would reveal the materially false and misleading nature of the statements made, although such facts were readily available to defendants. Said acts and omissions of defendants were committed willfully or with reckless disregard for the truth. In addition, each defendant knew or recklessly disregarded that material facts were being misrepresented or omitted as described above.

48. Information showing that defendants acted knowingly or with reckless disregard for the truth is peculiarly within defendants' knowledge and control. As the senior managers and/or directors of Endo, the Individual Defendants had knowledge of the details of Endo's internal affairs.

49. The Individual Defendants are liable both directly and indirectly for the wrongs complained of herein. Because of their positions of control and authority, the Individual Defendants were able to and did, directly or indirectly, control the content of the statements of Endo. As officers and/or directors of a publicly-held company, the Individual Defendants had a duty to disseminate timely, accurate, and truthful information with respect to Endo's businesses, operations, future financial condition and future prospects. As a result of the dissemination of the aforementioned false and misleading reports, releases and public statements, the market price of Endo securities was artificially inflated throughout the Class Period. In ignorance of the adverse facts concerning Endo's business and financial condition which were concealed by defendants, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class purchased or otherwise acquired Endo securities at artificially inflated prices and relied upon the price of the securities, the integrity of the market for the securities and/or upon statements disseminated by defendants, and were damaged thereby.

50. During the Class Period, Endo securities were traded on an active and efficient market. Plaintiff and the other members of the Class, relying on the materially false and misleading statements described herein, which the defendants made, issued or caused to be disseminated, or relying upon the integrity of the market, purchased or otherwise acquired shares of Endo securities at prices artificially inflated by defendants' wrongful conduct. Had Plaintiff and the other members of the Class known the truth, they would not have purchased or otherwise acquired said securities, or would not have purchased or otherwise acquired them at the inflated prices that were paid. At the time of the purchases and/or acquisitions by Plaintiff and the Class, the true value of Endo securities was substantially lower than the prices paid by Plaintiff and the

other members of the Class. The market price of Endo securities declined sharply upon public disclosure of the facts alleged herein to the injury of Plaintiff and Class members.

51. By reason of the conduct alleged herein, defendants knowingly or recklessly, directly or indirectly, have violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder.

52. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class suffered damages in connection with their respective purchases, acquisitions and sales of the Company's securities during the Class Period, upon the disclosure that the Company had been disseminating misrepresented financial statements to the investing public.

COUNT II

(Violations of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act Against The Individual Defendants)

53. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

54. During the Class Period, the Individual Defendants participated in the operation and management of Endo, and conducted and participated, directly and indirectly, in the conduct of Endo's business affairs. Because of their senior positions, they knew the adverse non-public information about Endo's misstatement of income and expenses and false financial statements.

55. As officers and/or directors of a publicly owned company, the Individual Defendants had a duty to disseminate accurate and truthful information with respect to Endo's financial condition and results of operations, and to correct promptly any public statements issued by Endo which had become materially false or misleading.

56. Because of their positions of control and authority as senior officers, the Individual Defendants were able to, and did, control the contents of the various reports, press releases and public filings which Endo disseminated in the marketplace during the Class Period concerning Endo's results of operations. Throughout the Class Period, the Individual Defendants exercised their power and authority to cause Endo to engage in the wrongful acts complained of herein. The Individual Defendants therefore, were "controlling persons" of Endo within the meaning of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. In this capacity, they participated in the unlawful conduct alleged which artificially inflated the market price of Endo securities.

57. Each of the Individual Defendants, therefore, acted as a controlling person of Endo. By reason of their senior management positions and/or being directors of Endo, each of the Individual Defendants had the power to direct the actions of, and exercised the same to cause, Endo to engage in the unlawful acts and conduct complained of herein. Each of the Individual Defendants exercised control over the general operations of Endo and possessed the power to control the specific activities which comprise the primary violations about which Plaintiff and the other members of the Class complain.

58. By reason of the above conduct, the Individual Defendants are liable pursuant to Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act for the violations committed by Endo.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against defendants as follows:

A. Determining that the instant action may be maintained as a class action under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and certifying Plaintiff as the Class representative;

B. Requiring defendants to pay damages sustained by Plaintiff and the Class by reason of the acts and transactions alleged herein;

C. Awarding Plaintiff and the other members of the Class prejudgment and post-judgment interest, as well as their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees and other costs; and

D. Awarding such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

Dated: November 7, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

POMERANTZ LLP

/s/ Jeremy A. Lieberman

Jeremy A. Lieberman
J. Alexander Hood II
600 Third Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10016
Telephone: (212) 661-1100
Facsimile: (212) 661-8665
Email: jalieberman@pomlaw.com
ahood@pomlaw.com

POMERANTZ LLP

Patrick V. Dahlstrom
10 South La Salle Street, Suite 3505
Chicago, Illinois 60603
Telephone: (312) 377-1181
Facsimile: (312) 377-1184
Email: pdahlstrom@pomlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT
TO FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS**

1. I, Doris Shaska, make this declaration pursuant to Section 27(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") and/or Section 21D(a)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") as amended by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

2. I have reviewed a Complaint against Endo International plc ("Endo" or the "Company") and, authorize the filing of a motion on my behalf for appointment as lead plaintiff.

3. I did not purchase or acquire Endo securities at the direction of plaintiffs counsel or in order to participate in any private action arising under the Securities Act or Exchange Act.

4. I am willing to serve as a representative party on behalf of a Class of investors who purchased or acquired Endo securities during the class period, including providing testimony at deposition and trial, if necessary. I understand that the Court has the authority to select the most adequate lead plaintiff in this action.

5. To the best of my current knowledge, the attached sheet lists all of my transactions in Endo securities during the Class Period as specified in the Complaint.

6. During the three-year period preceding the date on which this Certification is signed, I have not sought to serve as a representative party on behalf of a class under the federal securities laws.

7. I agree not to accept any payment for serving as a representative party on behalf of the class as set forth in the Complaint, beyond my pro rata share of any recovery, except such reasonable costs and expenses directly relating to the representation of the class as ordered or approved by the Court.

8. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed June 27, 2016
(Date)

Doris Shasha

(Signature)

DORIS SHASHA

(Type or Print Name)

ENDO INTERNATIONAL PLC (ENDP)

Shasha, Doris

LIST OF PURCHASES AND SALES

DATE	PURCHASE OR SALE	NUMBER OF SHS/UTS	PRICE PER SH/UT
Common Stock			
4/15/2016	Purchase	2,000	\$30.0000
ENDP Apr 15 2016 \$35.00 Put			
3/16/2016	Purchase	10	\$4.7000
11/5/2015	Sale	10	\$1.9500
ENDP Apr 15 2016 \$30.00 Put			
11/5/2015	Sale	30	\$1.2000
3/16/2016	Sale	10	\$2.0000
ENDP Jul 15 2016 \$25.00 Put			
3/16/2016	Sale	10	\$2.4200
ENDP Jan 19 2018 \$25.00 Put			
3/16/2016	Sale	10	\$4.7000
ENDP Jan 20 2017 \$40.00 Call			
4/18/2016	Sale	20	\$2.7000